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Legislative Tracking Dataset Topics

- Public Health Authority Limits
- Reallocation of Public Health Authority
- State Limits on Enforcement of Federal Law
- Public Health Preemption
- Regulating Public Health Measures
- Strengthening Public Health
Standard Variables in Every Dataset

- Bill number
- Bill introduction date
- Bill status
- Date of last action

**Bill Status:**
- Introduced
- Passed First Chamber
- Passed Second Chamber
- Enacted
- Vetoed
- Failed
Public Health Authority Limits

How is the [governor’s / state health official’s / local health official’s] authority limited?

- Issuance of emergency order is restricted
- Duration of emergency order is restricted
- Scope of emergency order is restricted
- Termination by legislature
- Termination by another entity
- Local override of state orders
Reallocation of Public Health Authority

Where does public health authority shift from the [governor / state health official / local health official] . . .

○ To the state legislature
○ To another state entity
○ To another local entity
○ To the governor
Measures & Preemption

- Regulation of Public Health Measures
  - Vaccine measures
  - Testing measures
  - Mask measures
  - School measures
  - Business measures
  - Place of worship measures
  - Isolation measures
  - Quarantine measures
  - Other

- Public Health Preemption
  - Vaccine measures
  - Mask measures
  - School measures
  - Business measures
  - Place of worship measures
  - Other
Datasets Live on LawAtlas.org now!

State Legislation Addressing Public Health Emergency Authority

State laws setting the scope and limits of emergency authority are crucial to an effective public health response. This suite of legal data captures details of legislation — bills that were enacted and those that were not — that addresses emergency health authority introduced between January 1, 2021, and May 20, 2022, in all 50 US states and the District of Columbia.

The six datasets were created by the Act for Public Health’s Legislative Tracking Team in collaboration with the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials and the Network for Public Health Law, using scientific legal mapping techniques to document state bills affecting the nature and/or allocation of public health authority at the state and local levels:

- Public Health Authority Limits
- Reallocation of Public Health Authority
- Strengthening Public Health
- State Limits on Enforcement of Federal Law
- Regulating Public Health Measures
- Public Health Preemption

The Act for Public Health initiative provides direct support to public health departments and others through consultation, training, legal technical assistance, research, and resources to address legislation that weakens their ability to protect the communities they serve. Act for Public Health is a partnership that includes ChangeLab Solutions, the Public Health Law Center at Mitchell Hamline School of Law, the Network for Public Health Law, and Public Health Law Watch.

How to Navigate the Data

State Limits on Enforcement of Federal Law

State officers are generally permitted, but not required to, enforce federal laws under the U.S. federal system. Yet throughout COVID-19, a handful of states introduced bills aiming to regulate, and in many cases restrict, the enforcement of federal public health laws.

This longitudinal dataset captures details of legislation seeking to limit the enforcement of federal law introduced between January 1, 2021, and May 20, 2022, in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia. Each individual bill is coded as a separate instance and labeled by its bill number. When querying the map below, states with multiple bills will appear as purple; click the state to learn more information about the different bills within that state.

This dataset is one of six that were created in collaboration with the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials and the Network for Public Health Law as part of the Act for Public Health initiative.
How to Navigate the Data

State has one bill

State has multiple bills
How to Navigate the Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>1 Is there a bill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama HB 518</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas HB 2535</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri HB 1145</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri HB 1212</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina H 3126</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### How to Navigate the Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>1 Is there a bill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama HB 518</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas HB 2535</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri HB 1145</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri HB 1212</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina H 3126</td>
<td>$ Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Excerpts from the law:**

**KS HB235:** An ACT concerning federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; enacting the individual liberty preservation act; nullifying certain federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; prohibiting enforcement of such requirements and providing criminal penalties for violations.

Effective: 1/25/22 - Through: 5/20/22
Attached file: hb235.pdf

An ACT concerning federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; enacting the individual liberty preservation act; nullifying certain federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; prohibiting enforcement of such requirements and providing criminal penalties for violations.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. (a) Sections 1 through 6, and amendments thereto, shall be known may be cited as the individual liberty preservation act.

(b) As used in the individual liberty preservation act:

1. “COVID-19 vaccine” means an
How to Navigate the Data

KS HB2535: AN ACT concerning federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; enacting the individual liberty preservation act; nullifying certain federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; prohibiting enforcement of such requirements and providing criminal penalties for violations.

Effective: 1/25/22 - Through: 5/20/22
Attached file: hb2535.pdf

An ACT concerning federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; enacting the individual liberty preservation act; nullifying certain federal COVID-19 vaccine requirements; prohibiting enforcement of such requirements and providing criminal penalties for violations.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. (a) Sections 1 through 6, and amendments thereto, shall be known as the individual liberty preservation act.

(b) As used in the individual liberty preservation act:

(1) "COVID-19 vaccine" means an immunization, vaccination or injection against disease caused by the novel coronavirus identified as SARS-CoV-2 or disease caused by a variant of the virus; and

(2) "federal COVID-19 vaccine requirement" means any act, law, treaty, order, rule or regulation of the government of the United States that is in effect and requires:

(A) Person to receive a COVID-19 vaccine;

(B) Person to provide documentation certifying receipt of a COVID-19 vaccine;

(C) Person to be tested for COVID-19; or

(D) Business or any other entity to enforce a requirement described in subparagraph (A), (B) or (C).

Sec. 2. The legislature declares that the authority for sections 1 through 6, and amendments thereto, is the following:

(a) The tenth amendment to the constitution of the United States guarantees to the states and their people all powers not granted to the federal government elsewhere in the constitution and reserves to the state and people of Kansas certain powers as they were understood at the time that Kansas was admitted to statehood in 1861. The guaranty of those powers is a matter of contract between the state and people of Kansas and the United States as of the time that the compact with the United States was agreed upon and adopted by Kansas in 1859 and the United States in 1861.

(b) The ninth amendment to the constitution of the United States guarantees to the people rights not granted in the constitution and reserves to the people of Kansas certain rights as they were understood at the time that Kansas was admitted to statehood in 1861. The guaranty of those...
How to Navigate the Data

Profile View

1. Is there a bill that limits the application of a federal law related to a public health emergency?
   - Yes
     - 1.1. What is the bill’s number?
       - HB 29
     - 1.2. When was the bill introduced?
       - 2022-01-11
     - 1.3. What is the bill’s status?
       - Failed
     - 1.4. What was the date of the last action?
       - 2022-01-11
   - No

1. Is there a bill that limits the application of a federal law related to a public health emergency?
   - Yes
     - 1.1. What is the bill’s number?
       - HB 518
     - 1.2. When was the bill introduced?
       - 2021-03-09
     - 1.3. What is the bill’s status?
       - Failed
     - 1.4. What was the date of the last action?
       - 2021-03-09

Historical Distribution
Results Highlights
January 1, 2021, through May 20, 2022
1,531 individual bills tracked between January 1, 2021 – May 20, 2022
191

of the 1,531 bills enacted into law into 43 states and D.C.
Introduced and Enacted U.S. State Legislation Addressing Public Health Authority by Type, January 1, 2021–May 20, 2022

1531 bills introduced addressing public health authority

- 16.5% enacted (n=17) - 102 bills
- 12.2% enacted (n=65) - 539 bills
- 7.1% enacted (n=6) - 84 bills
- 18.5% enacted (n=5) - 27 bills
- 13.6% enacted (n=163) - 1197 bills
- 16.9% enacted (n=30) - 177 bills

*Because bills may address more than one topic, totals reported by topic will not sum to the total number of bills.*
Regulating Public Health Measures – Vaccines
66 Total Enacted Bills as of May 20, 2022
Regulating Public Health Measures – Vaccines

66 Total Enacted Bills as of May 20, 2022

**New York AB 8700:** falsifying COVID-19 vaccinations records is a crime

**Utah HB 63:** requires employer to provide vaccine exemption for previous COVID-19 infection

**South Carolina HB 3126:** prohibits COVID-19 vaccine mandates for state employees and school children
Total Bills Regulating Public Health Measures

Total Bills Introduced Since 1/1/2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of 1/1/2022</th>
<th>As of 5/20/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine Measures</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask Measures</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places of Worship</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Measures</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Measures</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Measures</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

January 1, 2022 - May 20, 2022
Public Health Authority Limits

65 Total Enacted Bills as of May 20, 2022

**Utah SB 195:** limits governor, state, and local health department emergency declarations by limiting duration, restricting when an order can be re-issued, and allows for termination by the legislature

**New Hampshire HB 440:** prohibits the suspension of civil liberties during a state of emergency
Total Bills Limiting Public Health Authority

### Total Bills Introduced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of 1/1/2022</th>
<th>As of 5/20/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limits Governor's Authority</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits State Health Official Authority</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits Local Health Official Authority</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Health Preemption – Total Bills

Total Bills Introduced Since 1/1/2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of 1/1/2022</th>
<th>As of 5/20/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine Measures</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask Measures</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places of Worship</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Measures</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Measures</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

January 1, 2021 to May 20, 2022
Strengthening Public Health
17 Total Enacted Bills as of May 20, 2022

**Oregon HB 2927:** enhances organizational independence to health agencies; appropriates money and increases expenditure limitations during emergencies

**Vermont S 1:** allows the legislative bodies of municipalities to adopt face covering rules during COVID-19
Scary Bills & Bright Spots

- NH House Bill 1027: sought to establish the crime of “undermining the legislative process by false claim of emergency” and accused President Biden of colluding with OSHA under a false claim of emergency
- Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine bills

- 12 states passed 17 laws strengthening emergency authority:
  - Enhanced organizational independence to health agencies
  - Expanded authority in times of emergency
  - More stringent penalties for violating orders
  - Created new emergency rule-making procedures
Key Trends

- **Vaccines measures = main target**
  - Most common bills:
    - public health measures (vaccines, masking) &
    - public health authority limits on scope of emergency orders
  - Protection of “civil liberties” (right to worship, firearm sales, discrimination based on vaccine status) during an emergency

- **COVID-19 specific vs. generally applicable**
  - Generally applicable laws impacting mask & quarantine measures
    - AR SB 590: prohibition on mandatory use of a face mask
    - TN HB 575: restricts when a county can implement a quarantine or isolation order
2023 Plans

- Tracking enacted legislation in these six areas
- Technical assistance bill tracking
- Refining our bill tracking scope

**What are the most important positive and negative types of bills to look for in 2023?**
Contact Us!

- Follow us at [@PHLR_Temple](http://Twitter.com/PHLR_Temple)

Katie Moran-McCabe  
kathleen.mccabe@temple.edu

Elizabeth Platt  
elizabeth.platt@temple.edu
Bright Spots in Recent State Legislation Related to Public Health Powers and Duties

Jill Krueger
January 26, 2023
There has been a Coordinated Backlash

*Prohibitions on Public Health Interventions
*Shifts in Authority
*Limitations on Executive Orders
*Elevation of Individual Rights over Common Good
Has there been a Coordinated Response?

APHA
Trust for America's Health
Local Solutions Support Center

ASTHO

Act for Public Health
Bipartisan Policy Center

NNPHI
NALBOH

NEHA

NACCHO

Uniform Law Commission

CDC Foundation
American Heart Association
A Single TA Request is Like an Acorn

Think of the fierce energy concentrated in an acorn! You bury it in the ground, and it explodes into an oak!
~ George Bernard Shaw ~

March 2021, a public health leader asked during a webinar, “What are the proactive steps that states are taking to strengthen public health and public health authority, rather than simply react to threats to public health authority?”
» **What is our vision for public health?**

» **What tools will help us get there?**
We Need a Public Health System that is:

» Effective
» Informed by Expertise, Emerging Evidence, and Lived Experience
» Equitable and Anti-Racist
» Has Access to Resources (Human, Financial, Data, Infrastructure)

» Able to Act Quickly and Lead Adaptively
» Transparent and Democratic
» Trusted by the Community
» Competent, Confident Resilient
» Balanced between the Common Good and Individual Rights
Tools of Public Health Law

- Power to tax and spend
- Power to alter the informational environment
- Power to alter the built environment
- Power to alter the socioeconomic environment
- Direct regulation—*not the only option!!*
- Indirect regulation through the tort system
- Deregulation (when law is a barrier to public health)

Bright Spots

- Strengthen Public Health and Public Health Authority
- Governance
- Infrastructure
- Health Equity
- Workforce
- Public Health Interventions and Emergency Orders
Public Health Interventions/ Emergency Orders

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Empower public health officials and others to take action to protect community health, while designed to restrict individual liberties no more than is reasonable and necessary

» EXAMPLES Maine LD 1 COVID 19 Patient Bill of Rights—requires health insurance providers to cover COVID-19 screening, testing, and vaccine costs at no cost to the patient

» HELPFUL BECAUSE While some individuals sought to be free of a requirement to obtain COVID vaccines (as a condition of employment, for example), other individuals wished to obtain COVID screening, testing, and vaccination, but may have been concerned that costs would be imposed during the pandemic or in the future. Ensuring that those who seek vaccination are not barred by cost protects community health.
What else “Counts” as a Public Health Intervention/ Exercise of Public Health Authority?

» Safety Net Legislation
   Eviction Moratoria
   Unemployment Benefits and Paid Sick Leave
   Access to Green Space

» Climate, Environment, and Environmental Justice Legislation
   Washington State Healthy Environment for All (HEAL) Act
   Climate and Equitable Jobs Act in Illinois

» Mental Health
   Indiana and Louisiana teacher training re trauma and ACEs
   States begin to implement 988, national suicide prevention hotline
   Expanded Telehealth (including MAT and OAT for substance use disorder)
Funding

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Establish stable, predictable funding streams, providing a strong foundation for a sound public health system

» EXAMPLES Include

» Foundational Public Health Services (Washington)

» Public Health Modernization (Oregon)

» Public Health Transformation (Kentucky)

» State Action for Public Health Excellence (Massachusetts)

» HELPFUL BECAUSE Predictable funding streams support long-term planning and ongoing implementation, as well as helping to attract and retain a skilled, dedicated workforce with the capacity to address ongoing and emerging public health challenges
Health Equity

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Give public health officials the authority to identify, quantify, analyze, and correct disparate health opportunities and outcomes, including those associated with race and racial discrimination

» EXAMPLES

» COVID Health Equity Task Forces (Virginia SB 1296)
» Declarations of Racism as a Public Health Crisis (Minn House of Rep HR 1, 2020 2\textsuperscript{nd} special session)
» Equitable Engagement Compensation Programs (Oregon DOT)

» HELPFUL BECAUSE Public health analysis of COVID 19 morbidity and mortality demonstrated disparities associated with race, ethnicity, status as a frontline worker, and rural or urban residence, among others
Governance

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Establish the manner of governing in order to achieve goals, provide checks and balances

» EXAMPLES Indiana Executive Order 21-21 establishing public health commission. Commission Report is expected to inform governor’s legislative agenda.


» HELPFUL BECAUSE The way in which public health (or any other government entity) takes action may support achieving societal goals, or undermine them. Government processes may increase trust and engender community support, or erode them.
Infrastructure

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Commit the use of public funds to develop, build, and maintain critical facilities, structures, and systems that provide the foundation for public health

» EXAMPLES INCLUDE

» South Dakota SB 58 authorizing construction of a new public health lab

» Numerous states have enacted laws authorizing use of funds appropriated under the federal American Rescue Plan Act

» HELPFUL BECAUSE Infrastructure such as public health labs and modern IT systems support early detection, surveillance, and prevention of disease, including rapid, secure, and useful communication of data, as well as other day to day public health functions. In addition, they provide jobs
Workforce

» WHAT THESE TYPES OF LAWS DO Support the public health workforce by providing protection from threats and harassment; providing additional pay in recognition of service during a public health emergency; providing systemic supports to address trauma and foster resilience; and providing education and training opportunities to recruit and retain a professional public health workforce

» EXAMPLES

» Colorado HB 1041 is an example of a “doxxing law” to protect the personal information of public officials, public employees, or all persons. Other examples include laws in Oklahoma and Oregon

» HELPFUL BECAUSE The public health workforce served at the frontlines of the COVID pandemic, and is integral to a positive vision (and reality) for public health in the future.
How Do We Decide?
How Do We Decide?

» At a workshop on protecting public health authority at APHA Annual in Boston last fall, one of the participants asked, “How did the states decide which model to advocate for?” (asking specifically in reference to innovative legislative approaches to state funding and budget)

» That’s an excellent question.
Five Essential Public Health Law Services

**Access to Evidence and Expertise** - What is the problem? What evidence do we have? Who is working on this? What needs to be done?

**Designing Legal Solutions** - What is the best way to use the law to do this?

**Engaging Communities/Building Political Will** – Educate and mobilize to get the good idea into law

**Enforcing and Defending Legal Solutions** – Ensure capacity and accountability for implementation and defense

**Policy Surveillance and Evaluation** - Assess impact of solution, what works

The Three Bears, Paul Galdone
Simple Gifts

Tis the gift to be simple, 'tis the gift to be free
'Tis the gift to come down where we ought to be,
And when we find ourselves in the place just right,
'Twill be in the valley of love and delight.

When true simplicity is gained,
To bow and to bend we shan't be ashamed,
To turn, turn will be our delight,
Till by turning, turning we come 'round right.

A dance song by Shaker elder, Joseph Brackett Jr.
Composed later by Aaron Copeland, Appalachian Spring
Contact Me

- Jill Krueger
- Network for Public Health Law
- Northern Region Director
  jkrueger@networkforphl.org
  612-532-2813 (cell)
TRACKING PUBLIC HEALTH AUTHORITY LITIGATION

Wendy E. Parmet
Northeastern University School of Law
Roadmap

- Our studies
- The early COVID-19 cases
- Two years of judicial decisions
- Religious liberty cases
- Vaccine cases
- The Major Questions doctrine
- Implications for public health practice
Our Studies

• March 20, 2020 – May 29, 2020: Review of 53 decisions citing *Jacobson*

• March 2020 – July 1, 2022: Review of 1,069 public health authority-related decisions

• July 1, 2022 – Dec. 23, 2022: Review of vaccine mandate cases

Not included: contract claims, tort claims, detention claims, ADA claims, tribal cases
Two Plus Years of Cases

- Over 1,000 decisions from March 2020 to July 2022
- Majority in federal court (887 vs. 182)

Commonly-discussed claims:
- Due process (430 decisions)
- Equal protection (329 decisions)
- Free Exercise (271 decisions)
- Scope of authority (20 decisions by state supreme courts)
- Administrative process (85 decisions)
MOST COMMONLY CHALLENGED MEASURES
(NUMBER OF DECISIONS)
MARCH 2020-JULY 2022

- Social Distancing Measures: 500
- Mask Mandates: 242
- Vaccine Mandates: 211
The Early Cases

- Challenging social distancing laws
- What did *Jacobson* mean?
- The “suspension principle”
- The 2-step
- Substantial deference
  - *South Bay United Pentecostal Church v. Newsom*
A Change in Approach

- *Roman Catholic Diocese v. Cuomo*
- From the “shadow docket”
- Sidelining *Jacobson*
- Moving to strict scrutiny
- State must show the specific plaintiff caused harm; cannot act to prevent transmission
Case Outcomes

- Most decisions in favor of public health authority (over ¾)
- Plaintiffs more likely to win in administrative procedure cases than other types of cases (33 out of 85)
  - Free Exercise cases – 65 out of 271
  - Due process cases – 61 out of 430
- Significant rulings in favor of plaintiffs
  - *Ala. Assoc. of Realtors v. CDC* (CDC eviction moratorium)
  - *NFIB v. OSHA* (OSHA vaccine mandate)
  - *Health Freedom Defense Fund v. CDC* (transportation mask mandate)
The Evolution of Free Exercise Law During the Pandemic

- Pre-pandemic, *Smith v. Employment Division*
  - Neutral and generally applicable laws subject only to rational basis review

- *Roman Catholic Diocese v. Cuomo*
  - Strict scrutiny required because some secular activities treated more favorably than religious activities
  - No deference to health officials on why some activities more restricted than others

- *Tandon v. Newsom*
  - Order did not mention worship, but strict scrutiny still required

- *Fulton v. City of Philadelphia*
  - Non-COVID cases
  - *Smith* not overruled, but weakened
  - *Discretion to provide an exemption triggers strict scrutiny*
Implications for Childhood Vaccine Mandates

- Support for all childhood vaccines waning
  - Percentage of adults who think children should receive MMR vaccine to attend school dropped from 82% in 2019 to 71% in 2022 (KFF)

- Pre-Fulton and Tandon cases
  - C.F. v. New York City Dep’t of Health & Mental Hygiene
  - F.F. v. State

- A shift?
  - M.A. v. Rockland Cty. Dep’t of Health
Major Questions Doctrine

- Bars administrative agencies from issuing orders or regulations with major economic or political significance without explicit statutory authority

- Supreme Court
  - Eviction moratorium, OSHA vaccine mandate, CMS vaccine mandate

- Lower federal courts
  - CDC transportation mask mandate, federal contractor vaccine mandate

- But what is a “major” question?
Takeaways for Public Health

- Public health wins most of the time, but not always
- It is crucial to assemble a record demonstrating necessity of public health measures
- Take special care with orders that can affect religious practices
  - Need for well-considered procedures for denying exemptions
  - Cannot question whether a law clashes with religious views, but can question whether a belief is sincerely held or religious
  - Processes for exemptions cannot be punitive or burdensome
- Commence rulemaking procedures ASAP
- Persuasion and communications may be ore important than legal orders
- Mandates can be useful; they can also backfire!
About Us

We are an initiative of the Public Health Law Partnership, a group of organizations and experts applying their decades of experience in public health law and policy work to help push back against legislative attempts to block public health officials' ability to do their job.
Public Health Authority Litigation Tracking
December 5, 2022

Nearly Half Of All States Have Now Passed Laws Limiting Authority To Respond To Public Health Emergencies
December 5, 2022

Newly updated data released today by the Temple University Center for Public Health Law Research on LawAtlas.org

Public Health Authority
December 5, 2022

Dissatisfaction and anger at perceived overreaches by governors and public health officials in response to the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in an onslaught of legislation to limit the authority of public health to act to...

https://actforpublichealth.org/resources/
What is Public Health Authority FAQ

Public health authority refers to a government body's (usually a health or public health department or agency) legal ability to further public health by using tools such as community engagement, data collection, scientific research, laws and regulations, enforcement, and the many methods of sharing information and guidance with those who need it most.

How does limiting public health authority impact the practice of public health?

Rolling back public health authority changes the practice of public health in significant ways, including by wresting control away from the officials closest to the communities they serve, hampering effective responses to rapidly evolving public health emergencies, and elevating politics over public health expertise and evidence-based decision making.

How does limiting public health authority impact the health of our communities and health equity?

By thwarting public health officials' ability to respond quickly and effectively to identified community needs, reactionary rollbacks in public health authority jeopardize the health of our communities and further compound existing community health inequities.

https://actforpublichealth.org/public-health-authority/
Public Health Advocacy: The Basics

How can public health practitioners engage in advocacy even when faced with restrictions on lobbying? This one-hour webinar, hosted by the American Public Health Association and ChangeLab Solutions, aims to help new and seasoned advocates understand the general ethics and legalities related to lobbying and advocacy. The webinar

- Defines lobbying and distinguishes between direct and grassroots lobbying;
- Discusses the relationship between lobbying for social change and COVID-19 awareness.
Preserving Local Public Health Powers

How to resist policy efforts to limit public health authority

PUBLIC HEALTH

Public health is best served when decisions are driven by experts and experience rather than politics, but in the wake of COVID-19, some state legislatures continue to propose and pass bills that limit the authority of local public health officials. To help localities better protect the public’s health, ChangeLab Solutions has developed a set of resources for advocates, detailing what public health authority is, how it works, and the types of restrictions that public health departments are facing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trends of legal shifts in public health authority, from “Help Ensure That Public Health Professionals Can Continue to Protect Community Well-Being”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Via legislation...</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Preempting</strong> lower level govt actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Broadening exemptions</strong> from public health measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Shifting authority</strong> between government entities (risks putting politics above data/expertise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Chilling legislation</strong> (raises burdens/risks of acting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In the courts...</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Narrowing agency power</strong> (limiting what agencies can do)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Broadening use of stricter review</strong> if any “free exercise” claim is made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Raising evidentiary standards</strong> for agency decisions (increasing amount and type of data required to justify action)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Messaging

bmsg

realLanguage LLC
UPDATE: Act for Public Health commissioned Berkeley Media Studies Group and Real Language to assess narratives around the current attacks on public health’s authority. As a result, these partners came together to create a report recommending responses that will enable public health practitioners to communicate more effectively about the essential work they do.

Webinar

Making the case for public health: A framing and communication webinar

Frame public health as indispensable

Consider the way fire departments are framed
Act for Public Health

Communications
- Act for PH website
- Email list
- Social media
- Op-eds/media outreach
- Work with communications experts like BMSG and Burness

Resources
- Analyses of legislative and litigation tracking
- Fact sheet on equity impacts
- Fact sheet on preemption and other forms of legal limitations
- Trainings on messaging
- Trainings on advocacy and lobbying

TA
- Priority states
- Other states, as needed
- In collaboration with APHA and other partners
- Amicus brief coordination and support
Website
https://actforpublichealth.org/

TA Request Form
PUBLIC HEALTH LAW CONFERENCE

CALL FOR ABSTRACTS

We invite you to submit an abstract for an individual or panel presentation at the 2023 Public Health Law Conference

DEADLINE: February 6, 2023, 11:59 PM ET

networkforphl.org/2023conference | #PHLC2023
How do we strengthen public health advocacy at local, state, and national levels?

Register online at www.networkforphl.org