“Statistical or scientific de-identification” is an important tool to assist public health in negotiating its dual and sometimes conflicting missions – maintaining the privacy of the information it collects and sharing the information broadly with the community in a legal and privacy protective manner. As opposed to prescriptive methods, which delineate the removal of specific direct and indirect identifiers from the data set, this approach involves removing direct identifiers, like name and Social Security number, and balancing the utility of the inclusion of indirect identifiers, such as dates and geographies, with the risk of re-identification; this approach yields multiple solutions and provides flexibility. Statistical or scientific de-identification allows the expert, in consultation with the data steward, to determine which method(s) to apply to the data set to de-identify the indirect identifiers.

De-identification provides public health with many benefits:

- If data are de-identified at the point of collection, the risk of a privacy breach while data are retained, is significantly decreased.
- When data are de-identified prior to sharing, technical and policy controls may be minimized.
- De-identification affords public health with the ability to share data widely with communities and others.
- This fact sheet is intended to be used by privacy officers, public health practitioners, data managers and their attorneys to provide awareness of these methods. See the Resources document, which is part of this toolkit, for technical resources.

This fact sheet provides an overview of statistical and scientific de-identification methods of structured data, such as lab values and patient demographics, where the data are entered utilizing pre-defined fields from within the record. This fact sheet is not intended for de-identification of unstructured data, such as narrative reports or multimedia. Additionally, detail regarding methods for creation of synthetic data or data enclaves are beyond the scope of this fact sheet.
Understanding De-identification

De-identification is “the general term for any process of removing the association between a set of identifying data and the data subject.” De-identification is a process applied to data by either trained individuals or by computers, or both, and includes technical and policy solutions.

Because of the importance of both individual privacy and data utility, de-identification is first a matter of governance and management.

1. Public health should define its purpose in de-identifying the data:
   - Identify goals.
   - Determine types of data to be de-identified.
   - Decide potential uses of de-identified data.

2. Next, an expert at de-identifying health information will assess whether the health information can be re-identified.
   Experts, with statistical, mathematical or scientific backgrounds may be found within the public health agency or come from another organization.

3. Then, the expert will identify which statistical or scientific methods should be applied to the data to reduce the risk to an acceptable level. With input from the public health agency, the expert will execute on the methods.

4. Next, the expert will test the resulting health information to evaluate the risk of individual re-identification against the agreed upon risk level. An example of such a risk level, is that the risk must be no more than very small. It may take several iterations for the expert to achieve the appropriate risk level.

5. Finally, the expert should document the method and results.

The results of the risk assessment should inform the method utilized to share the data:

1. Publication on the internet.
2. Disclosure to trusted individuals under a Data Use Agreement.
3. Creation of synthetic data, which lack identifying information but reflect statistical properties of the original data set.
4. Presentation of de-identified data in a physical or virtual enclave that allows one to query the data and receive results, but not to export them.

With regard to de-identifying data to be published on the internet or disclosed to trusted individuals, there are a variety of methods that may be applied to transform the data set containing personal data, to a data set thought to have no personal data.

First, remove or obscure direct identifiers. Direct identifiers include “data that can be used to identify a person without additional information or with cross-linking through other information that is in the public domain.” Examples include names, Social Security numbers and email addresses; the National Institute of Standards and Technology recommends treating medical record numbers and phone numbers as direct identifiers, as their use for identification is ubiquitous.

Direct identifiers may be:

- Removed.
- Replaced with generic data or symbols.
- Replaced with random values. Where the same identity appears twice, it receives different values.
- Replaced with pseudonyms, allowing for matching.
Second, review and address the inclusion of indirect identifiers. Indirect identifiers are “identifiers that by themselves do not identify a specific individual but can be aggregated and ‘linked’ with other information to identify data subjects.” Examples include birthday, ZIP code and sex. While direct identifiers’ removal from the data set does not generally impact utility, removal or transformation of indirect identifiers has significant impact on utility, as well as privacy, and the balance must be performed with great care. A resulting data set with a low re-identification risk, but with low utility, suggests that the expert should identify additional options.

There are several methods available to de-identify indirect identifiers. Several methods are illustrated utilizing the model table below. In a table, the columns are known as features and the rows represent records:

**Table 2. An example of protected health information.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>00000</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>00001</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Broken Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10001</td>
<td>Acid Reflux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suppression**

This is a common technique used to de-identify data. This method removes data if it is determined to be too risky. Suppression may occur at any level: a feature, a specific value or an entire record may be removed. Table 3 illustrates the application of suppression by the black shaded cells.
Generalization
This technique is also commonly utilized in public health. This method transforms specific data into a more abstract representation. For example, a patient’s exact age may be transformed into an age range and a five-digit ZIP Code may be generalized into a three-digit ZIP Code. Table 4 illustrates the application of generalization by the grey shaded cells.\textsuperscript{17}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 21</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0000*</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 21 and 34</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0000*</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 35 and 44</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1000*</td>
<td>Broken Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 and over</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1000*</td>
<td>Acid Reflux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perturbation
This technique replaces data values with specific, but different data values. Certain statistical properties relative to the data set’s values are maintained. Table 5 reflects application of perturbation in the gray shaded cells.\textsuperscript{18}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>00002</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>00000</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Broken Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10003</td>
<td>Acid Reflux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After application of statistical or scientific methods are applied to the data set and the resulting risk of re-identification remains higher than acceptable, policy controls such as a Data Use Agreement may also be applied to mitigate the privacy risk to an appropriate level.
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